

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | 0. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 10/634,873 08/06/2003 | | 08/06/2003 | Jerome Lavoie | 15397-1US SC/ip | 15397-1US SC/ip 7621 | |
| 20988 | 7590 | 01/11/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | RENAU | LT LEGE AVENUE | PRONE, JASON D | | | |
| SUITE 16 | | LEGE A VENUE | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| MONTRE | AL, QC | H3A2Y3 | 3724 | | | |
| CANADA | L | | | DATE MAII ED: 01/11/200 | < | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | |
|--|---|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Advisory Action | 10/634,873 | LAVOIE, JEROME |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Jason Prone | 3724 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applicated a simely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension |
| fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail | originally set in the final Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | · . • • . |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | · · |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | • | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NOT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | cu/ | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 6 and 7. | Allan N. Shoap | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 8-16</u> . | upervisory Patent Examiner | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | Group 3700 | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | oved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | <u> </u> |
| 10.⊠ Other: The foreign priority papers were received 06 Oc | tober 2004. | . ^ |

- Contลาuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In the previous set of claims, filed 14 June 2004, claim 6 is dependant off of claim 5. In the newly presented claims, claim 6 has been added to claim 1 and claim 5 is dependant from claim 1. Basically, claim 5 is now dependant from claim 6 (via the claim 1 and 6 combination). Amended claims 1 and 5 both have an antecedent basis problem ("said piston and cylinder arrangment" of claim 1 and "a piston and cylinder arrangment" of claim 5).